



LINCOLNSHIRE COUNTY COUNCIL

PLANNING AND REGULATION COMMITTEE UPDATE – 25 JULY 2022

- **Attached county map showing location of applications**

ITEM

- 4.1 Applicant/Planning Agent** – requests that the item be deferred until the meeting on 5 September 2022 as it is claimed the Officers Report contains “*numerous serious omissions and errors*” and that “*it will be impossible to correct the errors and omissions in the short time available before the committee or within the allocated three minutes at Committee*”.

It is claimed most, if not all, issues could have been dealt with and clarified or corrected had the officer asked for further information as invited to do so in correspondence, however, nothing additional was ever requested nor asked. The applicant/planning agent has requested that the email correspondence between the officer and planning agent be disclosed to the Committee (see attached).

Planning Manager – the applicant/agent has been invited to set out and explain what the omissions or errors within the report are, but nothing more has been provided. Nothing has therefore been presented to suggest a deferral of the application is justified.

The email correspondence confirms requests have been made for additional information during consideration of the application - see emails from Marc Willis dated 9 May 2022 and 26 May 2022. Whilst the email dated 26 May 2022 suggested that some of the impacts of the development may be capable of being addressed through the imposition of planning conditions, it later became apparent that the relationship between the nearest residential property and the sites use has materially changed. This meant greater protection of those residents needed to be given and planning conditions would not be sufficient. Furthermore, the planning agent's response to the email dated 26 May 2022 (dated 31 May 2022) only demonstrated that ELV wastes arise nationally and whilst there may be economic and sustainability arguments to support this development, as explained in the report, these are not considered sufficient to support or justify the establishment of a ELV facility in this rural location.

The applicant has been invited to withdraw and resubmit the application using their ‘free go’ to address the issues raised but has declined to do so. Alternatively, should the Committee decide to proceed and refuse the application for the reasons

recommended, the applicant could either resubmit and again seek to address the reasons for refusal in a revised submission (again using a 'free go') or appeal.